DRAFT **RTO West** Stage 2 **Planning and Expansion Detailed Completion Plan** as of 11/28/01

Responsible Individual – Kristi Wallis

Deliverable – Planning content for filing (see attached task list and outline of the planning section of the filing).

Approach – Work to develop a consensus recommendation on all "must have" aspects of RTO West planning by 12/19/01; true-up to final congestion management and pricing decisions by 1/14/02.

Proposed Task List and Timeline¹

Task No.	Description	Resp Party	Type (Must Have or Desirable) For 3/1	Com- pletion	Comments
PL 1	PLCG Recommendation Regarding Compromise Proposal/Planning Framework	PLCG; KMW	MH	11/23	Complete
PL 2	Filing Utility/RRG Consideration of Compromise Proposal/Planning Framework (Ideally, Reach Consensus)	Filers; RRG; KMW	MH	11/30	Scheduled
PL 3	PLCG Recommendation Regarding Allocation of Costs of Expansion	PLCG; KMW	MH	12/7	Recommend. Close to Final
PL 4	PLCG Recommendation Regarding Incentives to Cause Expansion	PLCG; KMW	MH	12/14	Fair Amount of Discussion has Taken Place; PLCG in Process of Developing Recommend.
PL 5	PLCG Recommendation Regarding Final Details of Planning and Adequacy Backstop Processes (including Right of First Refusal, Claim Jumping, and pre-existing contract provisions relating to planning) ²	PLCG: KMW	МН	12/14	Recommend. Close to Final

¹ Assumes "Criteria to Maintain Initial Transfer Capability" will be addressed by Congestion Management ² Recommendation will be presented both in summary fashion and in a white paper that can provide a

1

foundation for the filing letter

Task No.	Description	Resp Party	Type (Must Have or Desirable) For 3/1	Com- pletion	Comments
PL 6	Legal Input on Section 211 in an "Accept All Schedules World" and Ability of FERC to Pre-Approve Rates	Filers		12/21	Initiate Immediately
PL 7	Filing Utility/RRG Consideration Regarding Allocation, Incentives, Process, Final Details of Planning and Backstop Process (Ideally, Reach Consensus)	Filers; RRG; KMW	МН	12/19	Timing Depends in Part Upon Need of Policy Reps to Focus on Pricing and Congestion Management
PL 8	Consider Impact of Final Congestion Management and Pricing Approach on Planning; Modify Planning Approach, As Appropriate	PLCG; CMCG; PRCG Filers; KMW	МН	1/14	True-Up After Final Congestion Management and Pricing Decisions

ROUGH DRAFT Planning Filing Section Outline

- A. Authority of RTO West Ultimate Responsibility for Planning and Expansion
- B. Planning Process
 - (1) Goal
 - (2) Scope (RTO West System)
 - (3) Description of Process
 - (a) Pro-Active Process
 - (b) Product Annual Plan
 - (i) Status of System
 - (ii) Transmission Adequacy Assessments
 - (iii) Congestion Issues (Current/Projected)
 - (iv) Projects
 - (A) Proposed (Transmission Adequacy/Congestion Relief)
 - (B) In Development
 - (4) Participants (All Interested Parties)
 - (a) Role of States
 - (b) Role of FERC
 - (5) Consideration of Non-Transmission Alternatives
- C. Decision-Making With Respect to New Projects/Facilities
 - (1) In First Instance, Market-Driven
 - (a) PTOs Responsible for Ensuring Transmission Adequacy
 - (b) Incentives to Cause Appropriate Commercial Expansion
 - (c) Open Season Subscription Process
 - (d) Ability of "Other Than Transmission Adequacy" Project Sponsor to Go Forward with Project if Mitigates Transfer Capability/Reliability Concerns and Meets Interconnection Requirements
 - (2) RTO Backstop Authority
 - (a) Transmission Adequacy
 - (b) Chronic, Significant Congestion (Can Address Market Failure/No Specifics)
 - (c) Cost Effective Avoidance of Costs That Would Otherwise be Uplifted
 - (3) Allocation (General Principles)
- D. Day One Responsibilities
 - (1) Operational Planning (Responsible from Day One)
 - (2) Long-Term Planning (RTO Evolves RTO Decides Who Does Planning Studies (assumes Initial Reliance on PTOs))